

From: info@waipadc.govt.nz
To: [Policy Shared](#)
Subject: External Sender: Waipā District Plan - Plan Change Submission Form 5 - Adam Jones
Date: Friday, 24 April 2026 3:50:51 pm

CYBER SECURITY WARNING: This email is from an external source - be careful of attachments and links. Please follow the Cybersecurity Policy and report suspicious emails to Servicedesk

Full name of submitter Adam Jones

Contact name (if different from above)

Email address

Address for service

Contact phone number

This is a submission on the following proposed plan change to the Waipā District Plan

#37 - Rider Park Structure Plan

Could you gain an advantage in trade competition through this submission? I could not

Are you directly affected by an effect of the subject matter that - (a) adversely affects the environment; and (b) does not relate to trade competition or the effects of trade competition? I am

Do you wish to be heard (attend and speak at the Council hearing) in support of your submission? I do not

If others make a similar submission, will you consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing? Yes

Do you support the proposed change(s)? I oppose

The specific provisions of the plan change my submission relates to are (give details):

Change and add provisions within sections 2A (Medium Density Residential Zone), 15 (infrastructure, hazards, development and subdivision) and 21 (assessment criteria) of the Waipā District Plan

My submission is

Significant addition of homes and development on the southern side of the Waikato River at this location without the addition of one or more river crossings to service the Cambridge/Leamington area will add considerable traffic to the region without making allowance for the bottle necks that are the current two bridges.

This is of particular concern to myself and my whaanau as residents of Shakespeare St, which already sees considerable road usage as the heavy transport route across the river.

More traffic without more alternative river crossings means increased road usage (which drives to increased road works needed for repairs), noise (further disrupting peaceful living and sleep), and

hazards to children. Not to mention that slow moving traffic will get significantly worse at rush hour times, adding to pollution to the air and likely result in drivers making sketchier decisions on the road (leading to more road accidents).

I seek the following decision/s from Council

I urge the Council to decline the proposal in its current state and, at minimum, add condition that a portion of monetary proceeds from any potential land development be put towards an additional river crossing to service the growth.

Attachments