



Waipā District Plan Proposed Plan Change 31 Further Submission Form

Form 6

Clause 8 of the First Schedule to the Resource Management Act 1991

To: Waipā District Council, Private Bag 2402, Te Awamutu 3840 | Phone: 0800 924 723
| Online: www.waipadc.govt.nz/planchange36 | Email: districtplan@waipadc.govt.nz

Please attach additional sheets if there is not enough space for your submissions. If you do not wish to use this form, please ensure that the same information required by this form is covered in your submission.

Note: You must fill in **ALL** sections of this form.
Submissions close **5pm Thursday, October 15, 2026**

COUNCIL USE ONLY

Date received

Document ref:

1. Submitter details

Full name of submitter:	Daniel Davies
Contact name if different from above:	
Contact phone number(s)	
Email address:	
Postal address: (required if no email address is provided)	

2. In accordance with clause 8(1) of the First Schedule of the Resource Management Act (select one of the following):

☒ I represent a relevant aspect of the public interest.
☐ I have an interest in the proposed plan change greater than the interest that the general public has.
My reason(s) are: I own a local building company so have insight about growth in the new home market in Waipā

3. Attendance at Council hearing

(a) I wish ☐ OR do not wish ☒ to be heard (attend and speak at the Council hearing) in support of my submission.
(b) If others make a similar submission, I will consider presenting a joint case with them at the hearing. Yes ☐ OR No ☒

4. Signature of submitter (note: a signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means, however please type your name below)

Signature of submitter:  (or person authorised to sign on behalf of submitter)	Dated 14/10/26
--	----------------

Note to person making submission

A copy of your further submission must be served on the original submitter within 5 working days after it is served on the Council.

Please note that your submission (or part of your submission) may be struck out if the consent authority is satisfied that at least 1 of the following applies to the submission (or part of the submission):

- It is frivolous or vexatious;
- It discloses no reasonable or relevant case;
- It would be an abuse of the hearing process to allow the submission (or the part) to be taken further;
- It contains offensive language;
- It is supported only by material that purports to be independent expert evidence, but has been prepared by a person who is not independent or who does not have sufficient specialised knowledge or skill to give expert advice on the matter.

Personal Information

The information requested on this form, including your contact details, is required by the Resource Management Act 1991. The information will be held by the Council, and you may ask to check and correct any personal information that we hold about you.

Your submission, including your name and contact details, will be made available for inspection at all Council service centres and libraries in accordance with the requirements of the Act. It may also be made available on the Council's website. A document summarising all submissions, including names and contact details of submitters will be posted on the Council's website

If you believe there are compelling reasons why your contact details should be kept confidential please contact the processing planner for this application.

5. The specific submission(s) on the Proposed Plan Change to the Waipā District Plan that this further submission relates to is/are as follows:

Submission Point (e.g. 20/1)	Name of Submitter	Support ✓	Oppose ✓	Reason: (Tell us <i>WHY</i> you support or oppose this submission. These reasons will help us to understand your further submission.)	I/We seek the following decision(s) from the Council:
<i>Example</i> 20/1	Jo Smith	✓		It is important for people's health and well-being that there are rules in the Plan to control noise.	Retain current Plan provision on noise.
14/2	David Campbell Latham		✓	This is further to my previous submission and in response to David Latham's submission 14. I oppose the view that there is no reason to bring T4 forward because other growth cells in Te Awamutu have enough estimated capacity already. The number of houses those areas could accommodate is only part of the picture, you also need to consider what people are looking for when choosing where to build. T4 offers a combination of desirable features that people want: walking proximity to town, attractive rural views and varied topography, which provide opportunities for distinctive and well-designed homes, just like the Fairview Estate development provided years ago. The availability of this type of land influences whether people build in Te Awamutu at all. T4 offers unique options that could attract households who might otherwise not build in Te Awamutu, encouraging additional growth rather than trying to distribute demand between existing developments. The assumption that there is already enough land in Te Awamutu for its share of projected district growth does not actually mean there is no need for this development, it fails to address the appeal of unique features in section availability that influence buyers choice, and the opportunity presented by this location with its desirable features and market appeal	Grant the proposed plan change 31 Te Awamutu T4 Growth Cell